

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/766,379	0	1/27/2004	Kenshi Suzuki	8213	3965
	7590	10/06/2006		EXAM	INER
Kenneth L. Mitchell 9213 Chillicothe Road Kirtland, OH 44094			CHARLES, MARCUS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3682	3682	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/766,379	SUZUKI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Marcus Charles	3682
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i 9) ⊠ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ison Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the o	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be one is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9-28-2004.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/766,379	
Art Unit: 3682	

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/766,379 filed 01-27-2004. Claims 1-2 are currently pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The examiner has accepted the drawing filed with this application as formal drawing.

Specification

<u>Abstract</u>

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
• •	rages
10/766,379	
•	
Art Unit: 3682	

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 1, line 16-17, it is not clear as to what is (patent reference 1) is referring to. In addition, it is not proper to make reference to claims numbers in the specification. (see page 2, line 21 and page 3, lines 12 and 13). In addition, in page 4, line 1, the term "Action" must be deleted.

The specification is missing the following sub-titles:

- (i) Background of the Invention
- (ii) Brief Summary of the Invention

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,379	Page 4
Art Unit: 3682	

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 14, "an" should be --a-- prior to "movement". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeda (5,320,582). Takeda discloses a transfer chain guide comprising a chain (2), which is entrained around a pair of sprockets (1, 1'), a curved track (4) having a limiting position, a transfer position and a meshing position. It is apparent that the when three rollers in the transmission chain are to be meshed with the sprocket while being open on an outer circumferential side of the chain from the travel limiting guide in such an arrangement traveling state that always corresponds to the travel limiting position, the transfer position and the meshing position, the curved track is define along a movement passage of the roller in the transfer position.

In claim 2, it is apparent that the curved track is formed by two arc-shape curves.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Friedmann et al. (6,435,994), Hoffmann et al. (4,869,708), Eberle

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
10/766,379	
Art Unit: 3682	,

(4,609,365), Sutherland (4,498,890), Kamiya et al. (4,471,851), Yang (5,810,687) and EP (0878434) disclose a transfer guide for a chain assembly.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682
September 30, 2006